

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/791,724 | 03/04/2004 | Yuehting Chen | 5303 | |
| 75 | 90 06/30/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| YUEHTING CHEN | | | PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU | |
| P.O. Box 697 Feng-Yuan Cit | y | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Taichung Hsien, 420 | | | 3724 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 6 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/791,724 | CHEN, YUEHTING | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Hwei-Siu C. Payer | 3724 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | · | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | • | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| • — | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| ′ — | 7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | tion Papers | | · | | | | |
| , — | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10)🖂 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2004</u> is/are: | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| 44 | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kammer, Note the attached Omc | e Action of form F 10-132. | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)🖂 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) |)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | ved in this National Stage | | | | |
| • | application from the International Burea | | and | | | | |
| * | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receiv | reu. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Detailed Action

Drawings Objection

The drawings are objected to because

(1) In Figs.2 and 3, reference numeral "274" has not been described in the specification.

(2) In Fig.5, reference numerals "332,333" have not been described in the specification.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Application/Control Number: 10/791,724 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Objection to the Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because:

- (1) It exceeds 150 words in text.
- (2) At line 1, "blade cutting dap the" should read --a blade cutting depth--.
- (3) At line 2, "hallow" should read --hollow--.
- (4) At line 5, "a outer side" and "head" should read --an outer side-- and --head portion--, respectively.
 - (5) At line 8, "protrusion" should read --protrusions--.
 - (6) At line 11, "tube" should read --tubes--.
 - (7) At line 12, "bolt" should read --bolts--.
 - (8) At line 15, "protrusion" should read --protrusions--.
 - (9) At line 16, "rod" should read --rods--.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Objection to the Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) On page 1, lines 8 and 13, "working" should read --work--.
- (2) On page 1, line 17, "it graspable" should read --it is graspable---

Application/Control Number: 10/791,724

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

- (3) On page 2, lines 1, 17 and 19, "working" should read --work--.
- (4) On page 2, line 1, "pieces" should read --piece--.
- (5) On page 2, line 12, "secured" should read --securing--.
- (6) On page 2, line 21, "Due to that the" should read -- The--.
- (7) On page 2, line 22, "again that's" should read --again, and that's--.
- (8) On page 3, line 23, "lead" should read --lean--.
- (9) On page 4, line 1, "These" should read -- This--.
- (10) On page 4, line 9, "a" should read --and--.
- (11) On page 4, line 10, "according" should read --according to--.
- (12) On page 4, line 16, "locking" should read --looking--.
- (13) On page 4, line 19, "a" should read --an--.
- (14) On page 6, lines 5-6, "a oblique portion" should read --an oblique portion--.
- (15) On page 6, line 7, "the pivotal the tubes" should read --the pivotal tubes--.
- (16) On page 6, line 17, "a internally" should read --an internally--.
- (17) On page 6, line 24, working" should read --work--.
- (18) On page 7, line 3, "he" should read --the--.
- (19) On page 7, line 6, "357" should read --353--.
- (20) On page 7, line 11, "are" should read --arc--.
- (21) On page 7, line 13, "protruded of the slit" does not make sense.
- (22) On page 7, line 14, "fastens" should read --fasten--.
- (23) On page 7, line 15, "working" should read --work--.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,724 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

(24) On page 7, line 16, "contact to" should read --contact--.

(25) On page 7, line 21, "turned an angle" should read --turned to an angle--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Objection

Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) In claim 1, line 1, "blade" should read --a blade--.
- (2) In claim 1, line 4, "in which is a motor operating" should read --from which--.
- (3) In claim 1, line 5, after "protruded", --,-- should be added.
- (4) In claim 1, line 6, after "thereof", --, -- should be added.
- (5) In claim 1, line 9, after "side" should --sides of the head portion--.
- (6) In claim 1, line 11, "throng" should read --through--.
- (7) In claim 1, line 12, "secured" should read --secured by--.
- (8) In claim 1, line 15, after "surface", --of the upper plate-- should be added.
- (9) In claim 1, line 18, "belts" should read --bolts--.
- (10) In claim 1, line 21, "an" should read --the upper surface-- since it refers to the one previously cited.
 - (11) In claim 1, line 21, "side" should read --sides--.
 - (12) In claim 1, line 23, "within" should read --with--.
 - (13) In claim 1, line 29, "pad ring" should read --a pad ring--.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,724 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

(14) In claim 1, line 31, "in two ends" should read --at two ends of the lower plate and--.

- (15) In claim 1, line 33, "projected" should read --projecting--.
- (16) In claim 1, lines 33-34, "upper surface" should red --an upper surface of the lower plate and--.
 - (17) In claim 1, line 38, "engage able" should read --engageable--.
 - (18) In claim 1, line 40, "in two end" should read --at two ends--.
 - (19) In claim 1, line

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (1) The scope of claims 2-5 is vague and indefinite because it is not clear exactly what structure of the circular saw is being claimed therein. The claims are replete with functional/operational language, and there is no structure claimed which further limit the structure of the circular saw.
 - (2) In claims 4 and 5, "may" is indefinite.

suggests the specifically claimed structure for a circular saw.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The claim contains allowable subject matter because none of the prior art of record taken alone or in combination thereof shows or fairly

Prior Art Citations

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Barth et al., Walter et al., Yee, Vargas, Kelly and Booeshaghi et al. are cited as art of interest.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

Page 8

H Payer June 24, 2005 Hwat-Siu Payar Primary Examiner

1 +- 12 Pryter